Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Docum	nent 1527-5 Filed 07/02/21 Page 1 of 4
UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION
IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL Case No. 17-md-02801-JD Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD
This Document Relates to:	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIF
All Indirect Purchaser Actions	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF REVISED SETTLEMENTS WITH SHINYEI AN TAITSU DEFENDANTS

1 Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs ("IPPs") filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Revised 2 Settlements with (1) Defendants Shinyei Technology Co., Ltd. and Shinyei Capacitor Co., Ltd. 3 (together, "Shinyei"); and (2) Defendant Taitsu Corporation ("Taitsu," and together with 4 Shinyei, the "Settling Defendants"), and for Approval of the Plan of Allocation relating to the 5 above-referenced settlements. 6 The Court heard the argument of counsel and, having reviewed the pleadings, the 7 settlement agreements, other papers on file in this action, and the statements of counsel and the 8 parties, hereby finds that the motion should be **GRANTED**. 9 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 10 1. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the settlement agreements. 11 12 2. The Court preliminarily approves the settlement agreements with the Settling 13 Defendants. 14 3. The Court finds that the Settlements fall within the range of possible final approval. 15 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23, the Court certifies the 16 17 following settlement classes for purposes of this motion only: 18 All persons and entities in the Indirect Purchaser States (as defined herein) who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 19 2014, purchased one or more Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator 20 manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants; their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates; any co-conspirators; Defendants' 21 attorneys in this Action; federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions; all judges assigned to this 22 Action; all jurors in this Action; and all Persons who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants. 23 "Indirect Purchaser States" means California, Florida, Michigan, 24 Minnesota, Nebraska, and New York. 25 5. The Court further finds that the prerequisites to certifying settlement classes under 26 Rule 23 are satisfied for settlement purposes in that: (a) there are at least thousands of 27 geographically dispersed settlement class members, making joinder of all members 28 impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the settlement classes which

[Proposed] Order Granting Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlements with Shinyei and Taitsu; MDL No. 17-md-02801; Case No. 14-cv-03264-JD

Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1527-5 Filed 07/02/21 Page 3 of 4

predominate over individual issues; (c) the claims or defenses of the class representatives are typical of the claims or defenses for the settlement classes; (d) IPPs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the settlement classes and have retained counsel experienced in antitrust class action litigation who have, and will continue to, adequately represent the settlement classes; and (e) resolution throughout class settlements is superior to individual settlements.

6. The Court hereby appoints the Class Representatives named in the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Fifth Consolidated Complaint (February 2, 2017) (ECF No. 1589) from California, Florida, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New York as Representative Plaintiffs of the Settlement Classes.

7. The Court hereby appoints the law firm Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP as Settlement Class Counsel.

8. Settlement Class Counsel and their designees are authorized to expend funds from the escrow accounts to pay taxes, tax expenses, notice, and administration costs as set forth in the Settlement Agreements.

9. All further Indirect Purchaser class proceedings as to the Settling Defendants are hereby stayed except for any actions required to effectuate the Settlements.

10. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over this action to consider all further matters arising out of or connected with the Settlements.

11. Each member of the settlement classes shall retain all rights and causes of action with respect to claims against the remaining Defendants other than the Settling Defendants regardless of whether such member of the settlement classes decides to remain in the settlement classes or to exclude itself from the settlement classes.

12. The Court finds that IPPs' proposed plan of allocation, proposing to pay putative class members from the six relevant states on a pro rata basis based on qualifying purchases of capacitors is fair, reasonable, and adequate. In re Citric Acid Antitrust Litig., 145 F. Supp. 2d 1152, 1154 (N.D. Cal. 2001). The Plan of Allocation does not unfairly favor any Class Member, or group of Class Members, to the detriment of others.

28

[Proposed] Order Granting Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlements with Shinyei and Taitsu; MDL No. 17-md-02801; Case No. 14-cv-03264-JD

Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1527-5 Filed 07/02/21 Page 4 of 4

Event	Time
Notice Program	Initiation of class notice progr days after order preliminarily app settlements and approving IPPs notice program ("Orders")
Exclusion and Objection Deadline	60 days after Orders
Deadline to Submit Claims	60 days after Orders
Motion for Final Approval and Response to Objections (if any)	75 days after Orders and 15 days Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	100 days after Orders
posed Order Submitted By:	
Elizabeth T. Castillo James G. Dallal	
lingame, CA 94010	
simile: (650) 697-0577	
stillo@cpmlegal.com	